

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | 8:14CR23 |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | |
| |) | |
| PEDRO BAIZ BARAJAS, |) | ORDER |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

This matter is before the court on the unopposed Motion for Extension of Time (the court shall construe as a Motion to Continue Trial) [34]. Counsel needs additional time to explore plea negotiations. The defendant has complied with NECrimR 12.1(a). For good cause shown,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to continue trial [34] is granted, as follows:

1. The jury trial now set for March 18, 2014 is continued to **May 27, 2014**.
2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and May 27, 2014**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

DATED March 27, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F.A. Gossett, III
United States Magistrate Judge